Total No. of students appeared - 51
No. of students secured 1st Division - $\mathbf{5 0}$
No. of students secured 2nd Division - 01
No. of students in Compartmental - 00
No. of students failed - 00
Pass \%age
Class Average

- $100 \%$
- $74.65 \%$


## LIST OF TOPPERS



| No. of students secured $90 \%$ \& above | 04 |
| :--- | :---: |
| No. of Students secured $80 \%$ \& above but below 90 \% | 11 |
| No. of Students secured $70 \%$ \& above but below 80 \% | 19 |
| No. of Students secured $60 \%$ \& above but below 70 \% | 16 |

Students who scored $90 \%$ \& above

| S.N. | Name | \%age |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | SATYAM SHARMA | $94.8 \%$ |
| 2 | SHEEFA HUSSAIN | $92.8 \%$ |
| 3 | PRIYANKA KUMARI | $91.8 \%$ |
| 4 | SEJAL KUMARI | $90.4 \%$ |

## SUBJECT WISE HIGHEST MARKS

| SUBJECT | TOTAL <br> NO. OF <br> STUDENTS | SUBJECT <br> AVERAGE | HIGHEST <br> MARKS | NAME OF THE STUDENTS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| English | $\mathbf{5 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 3 . 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 5}$ | SATYAM SHARMA, PRIYANKA KUMAR, <br> KUMAR VISHWAJEET, KHUSHI PARWEEN |
| Maths | $\mathbf{3 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 . 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 6}$ | SATYAM SHARMA |
| Physics | $\mathbf{5 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 5}$ | SATYAM SHARMA |
| Chemistry | $\mathbf{5 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 . 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 5}$ | SATYAM SHARMA |
| Biology | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 . 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 5}$ | KHUSHI PARWEEN, SEJAL KUMARI, <br> PRANAV UTKARSH |
| Comp. Sc. | $\mathbf{4 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 6}$ | KUMAR VISHWAJEET, HARSH KUMAR |
| Economics | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 . 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 9}$ | KHUSHI PARWEE |
| Physical Edu. | $\mathbf{4 9}$ | $\mathbf{8 6 . 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 7}$ | HARSH KUMAR, SHEEFA HUSSAIN |

# TATA DAV PUBLIC SCHOOL, WBC TISCO, GHATOTAND, RAMGARH RESULT ANAL YSIS OF CLASS XII (COMMERCE) CBSE BOARD EXAMINATION 2023 

## SESSION 2022-2023

Date 12/05/2023
Total No. of students appeared - 32
No. of students secured 1st Division - 25
No. of students secured 2nd Division - 06
No. of students in Compartmental - 01
Overall QPI Sc\& Com: 73.41
No. of students failed - 00
Pass \%age

- $100 \%$

Class Average

- $71.43 \%$

LIST OF TOPPERS



| No. of students secured $90 \%$ \& above | $\mathbf{0 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| No. of Students secured $80 \%$ \& above but below $90 \%$ | $\mathbf{0 5}$ |
| No. of Students secured $70 \%$ \& above but below $80 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ |
| No. of Students secured $60 \%$ \& above but below $70 \%$ | $\mathbf{0 6}$ |

Students who scored $\mathbf{9 0 \%}$ \& above

| S.N. | Name | \%age |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | RIMJHIM KUMARI | $92.8 \%$ |
| 2 | DIVYA KUMARI | $92.2 \%$ |

SUBJECT WISE HIGHEST MARKS

| SUBJECT | TOTAL <br> NO. OF <br> STUDENTS | SUBJECT <br> AVERAGE | HIGHEST <br> MARKS | NAME OF THE STUDENTS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| English | 32 | 65.97 | 87 | DIVYA KUMARI |
| Accountancy | 32 | 49.47 | 95 | DIVYA KUMARI |
| B. Studies | 32 | 67.84 | 95 | DIVYA KUMARI |
| Economics | 32 | 66.50 | 91 | RIMJHIM KUMARI, DIVYA KUMARI |
| Inf. Pract. | 30 | 79.73 | 99 | RIMJHIM KUMARI |
| Maths | 02 | 56.00 | 67 | DIVYA KUMARI |
| Physical Edu. | 32 | 76.59 | 93 | DIVYA KUMARI |

Total No. of students appeared

- 94

No. of students secured 1st Division - 83
No. of students secured 2nd Division - 10
No. of students in Compartmental - 01
No. of students failed

- NIL

Pass \%age

- $\quad 98.93$ \%

Class Average

- $\quad 74.85$

QPI Std X : 74.85

## LIST OF TOPPERS



| No. of students secured $90 \%$ \& above | 10 |
| :--- | :--- |
| No. of Students secured $\mathbf{8 0 \%}$ \& above but below $90 \%$ | 28 |
| No. of Students secured $70 \%$ \& above but below $80 \%$ | 17 |
| No. of Students secured $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ \& above but below $70 \%$ | 28 |

Students who scored $90 \%$ \& above

| S. $\mathbf{N}$ | Name | \% age |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | DHARMENDRA KUMAR MAHTO | $\mathbf{9 6 . 0 0} \%$ |
| 2 | SARTHAK THAKUR | $\mathbf{9 5 . 8 0} \%$ |
| 3 | YUVRAJ SHARMA | $\mathbf{9 2 . 4 0} \%$ |
| 4 | ANJALI KUMARI | $\mathbf{9 2 . 2 0} \%$ |
| 5 | ROHIT KUMAR | $\mathbf{9 1 . 8 0} \%$ |
| 6 | SHEETAL KUMARI | $\mathbf{9 1 . 0 0} \%$ |
| 7 | ADITYA RAJ | $\mathbf{9 1 . 0 0} \%$ |
| 8 | RAJBIR BHARTI | $\mathbf{9 1 . 0 0} \%$ |
| 9 | TANISHAK SAHAY | $\mathbf{9 0 . 8 0} \%$ |
| 10 | SAPNA SINGH | $\mathbf{9 0 . 0 0} \%$ |


| SUBJECT | TOTAL <br> NO. OF <br> STUDENTS | SUBJECT <br> AVERAGE | HIGHEST <br> MARKS | NAME OF THE STUDENTS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| English | $\mathbf{9 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 . 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 4}$ | DHARMENDRA KUMAR MAHTO |
| Hindi | $\mathbf{9 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 9 . 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 5}$ | ADITYA RAJ |
| MathSStd | $\mathbf{7 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 . 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | DHARMENDRA KUMAR MAHTO |
| Maths Basic | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 . 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 5}$ | MISBAH FATMA |
| Science | $\mathbf{9 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 . 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 5}$ | SARTHAK THAKUR, ANJALI KUMARI, <br> RAJBIR BHARTI |
| Social Sc | $\mathbf{9 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 . 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 8}$ | SARTHAK THAKUR, ANJALI KUMARI, <br> ADITYA RAJ |
| IT | $\mathbf{9 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 . 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 . 5 5}$ | DHARMENDRA KUMAR MAHTO |

